FORM-PTO-1390 (Rev. 12-29-99)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE

16 August 1999

This is a **SECOND** or **SUBSEQUENT** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.

TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US) **CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371**

ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER

032326-123

U.S. APPLICATION NO (If known, see 37 C F R 1.5)

PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED 17 August 1998

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. PCT/FR99/01996

TITLE OF INVENTION

NUMBER SOURCE AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES COMPRISING SAID METHOD

IVIE	METHOD FOR TESTING A RANDOW NUMBER SOURCE AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES COMPINIONS SAID METHOD				
API	APPLICANT(S) FOR DO/EO/US				
Je	Jean-Sébastien and David NACCACHE				
Apı	olicant	therewith submits to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the following items and other information:			
1.	\boxtimes	This is a FIRST submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.			

3.	×	This is an express request to begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. 371(f)) at any time rather than delay examination until the expiration of the applicable time limit set in 35 U.S.C. 371(b) and the PCT Articles 22 and 39(1).
----	---	---

٥.		until the expiration of the applicable time limit set in 35 U.S.C. 371(b) and the PCT Articles 22 and 39(1).					
4.	\boxtimes	A proper Demand for International Preliminary Examination was made by the 19th month from the earliest claimed priority date.					
5.	X	A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2))					
		a.		is transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau).			
		b.	\boxtimes	has been transmitted by the International Bureau.			
	u. Uj	c.		is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US)			
6.		Αt	ransla	tion of the International Application into English (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)).			
7.		Αm	endm	ents to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3))			
		a.		are transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau).			
		b.		have been transmitted by the International Bureau.			
		c.		have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired.			
		d.	\boxtimes	have not been made and will not be made.			
8.		Α 1	transla	tion of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)).			
9.		An	oath	or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4)).			
10). □	Αt	transla	tion of the annexes to the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(5)).			
lte	ms 11.	. to	16. be	low concern other document(s) or information included:			
11	. 🗆	An	Inform	nation Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.			
12	2. 🗆	An assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 CFR 3.28 and 3.31 is included.					
13	13. 🛮 A FIRST preliminary amendment.						

11.	Ш	An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
12.		An assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 CFR 3.28 and 3.31 is included.
13.	Ø	A FIRST preliminary amendment.

	A SECOND or SUBSEQUENT preliminary amendment.
14.	A substitute specification.

15.	A change of power of attorney and/or address letter

16. Other items or information:

u.s. applicati Unassigne		vn,/ see 37 C.F R 1.50) 5 8		INTERNATIONAL APPLICATIO PCT/FR99/01995	N NO			EY'S DOCKET NUMBER 26-123
<u> </u>	U	41 (07 7 7 0	<u> </u>	1		CAL	CULATIONS	PTO USE ONLY
		fees are submitted:						
		FR 1.492(a)(1)-(5)):		OFD 4 400)				
nor inte	rnational sea	I preliminary examination for arch fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(parch Report not prepared b	2)) paid	to USPTO	. \$1,000.00 (960)			
Internat USPTO	tional prelimi but Internat	nary examination fee (37 C ional Search Report prepar	FR 1.4 ed by tl	·82) not paid to he EPO or JPO	\$860.00 (970)			4
Internat but inte	tional prelimi ernational sea	inary examination fee (37 C arch fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(CFR 1.4 2)) paid	82) not paid to USPTO d to USPTO	\$710.00 (958)			
but all	claims did no	inary examination fee paid of satisfy provisions of PCT	Article	33(1)-(4)	\$690.00 (956)			
Interna and all	tional prelimi claims satisf	inary examination fee paid fied provisions of PCT Artic	to USP le 33(1	TO (37 CFR 1.482))-(4)	\$100.00 (962)			
		ENTE	R APP	PROPRIATE BASIC F	EE AMOUNT =	\$	860.00	
Surcharge of months from	f \$130.00 (1 n the earliest	154) for furnishing the oath claimed priority date (37 0	or dec	laration later than 192(e)).	20 🗆 30 🗆	\$	-0-	
Clai	ms	Number Filed		Number Extra	Rate			
Total Claims	3	10 -20 =		-0-	X\$18.00 (966)	\$	-O -	
Independent		1 -3 =		-0-	X\$80.00 (964)	\$	-0-	
7.		n(s) (if applicable)			+ \$270.00 (968)	\$	-0-	
Lij			TC	TAL OF ABOVE CA	LCULATIONS =	\$	860.00	
Reduction for	or 1/2 for fili	ng by small entity, if applic				\$	-0-	_
n.					SUBTOTAL =	\$	860.00	
Processing	fee of \$130. n the earlies	.00 (156) for furnishing the t claimed priority date (37	English	h translation later than 492(f)).	20 🗆 30 🗆	\$	-0-	
				TOTAL N	ATIONAL FEE =	\$	860.00	
Foo For rocc	ording the en ate cover sh	closed assignment (37 CFF eet (37 CFR 3.28, 3.31).	R 1.21(I \$ 40.00	h)). The assignment mus (581) per property +	t be accompanied by	\$	-0-	
inguis.				TOTAL FEI	ES ENCLOSED =	\$	860.00	
							Amount to be: refunded	\$
							charged	\$
а. 🗆	Small entity	status is hereby claimed.						
1	•	the amount of \$ to	cover	the above fees is enclose	ed.			
		ge my Deposit Account No				the a	above fees. A du	plicate copy of this
d. 🛛	The Commis	ssioner is hereby authorized . <u>02-4800</u> . A duplicate co	d to cha	arge any additional fees v his sheet is enclosed.	vhich may be required	, or c	redit any overpay	ment to Deposit
NOT!	NOTE: Where an appropriate time limit under 37 CFR 1.494 or 1.495 has not been met, a petition to revive (37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b)) must be filed and granted to restore the application to pending status.							
SEND ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:					(s	/		
	James A.				(Minn Tel	~	Almonomore	
		OANE, SWECKER & MAT	HIS, L.		NATURE *			
	Alexandri (703) 83	ia, Virginia 22313-14 6-6620	04	<u>Ja</u> NA	mes A. LaBarre ME	<u>-</u>		
					,632	,		
				REC	GISTRATION NUMBER	í		

JC03 Rec'd PCT/PT0 1 6 FEB 2001

Patent Attorney's Docket No. <u>032326-123</u>

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ation of)		
RON et al)	Group Art Unit:	Unassigned
Unassigned)	Examiner: Unas	ssigned
6, 2001)		
NUMBER SOURCE AND NIC DEVICES)))		
	ration of RON et al Unassigned 6, 2001 FOR TESTING A NUMBER SOURCE AND NIC DEVICES ING SAID METHOD	RON et al) Unassigned) 6, 2001) FOR TESTING A) NUMBER SOURCE AND) NIC DEVICES)	RON et al) Group Art Unit:) Unassigned) Examiner: Unas) 6, 2001) FOR TESTING A) NUMBER SOURCE AND) NIC DEVICES)

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Prior to examination and the calculation of filing fees, kindly amend the aboveidentified application as follows:

IN THE SPECIFICATION:

Page 1, between lines 2 and 3, insert --This disclosure is based upon, and claims priority from, French Application No. 98/10592 and International Application No. PCT/FR99/01996, the contents of which are incorporated herein by reference.--

IN THE CLAIMS:

Claim 7, lines 8-9, replace "any one of Claims 1 to 3" with --Claim 1--.

Claim 10, lines 1-2, replace "any one of Claims 1 to 6" with --Claim 1--.

REMARKS

Entry of the foregoing amendment is respectfully requested. This amendment is intended to eliminate the multiple dependency of the claims.

Respectfully submitted,

BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.

By:

James A. LaBarre

Registration No. 28,632

P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404 (703) 836-6620

Date: February 16, 2001



Patent Attorney's Docket No. <u>032326-123</u>

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of			
Jean-Sébastien CORON et al			Group Art Unit: Unassigned
Applic	eation No.: 09/763,158)	Examiner: Unassigned
Filed:	February 16, 2001)	
For:	METHOD FOR TESTING A RANDOM NUMBER SOURCE AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES)	
	COMPRISING SAID METHOD)	

SECOND PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Kindly amend the above-identified application as follows:

IN THE SPECIFICATION:

Page 1, delete the paragraph immediately following the title (which was added in the Preliminary Amendment filed February 16, 2001), and replace it with the following:

--This disclosure is based upon, and claims priority from, French Application No. 98/10592 and International Application No. PCT/FR99/01996, published by the International Bureau on February 24, 2000 in a language other than English, the contents of which are incorporated herein by reference.--

REMARKS

The foregoing amendment is being made to comply with the new provisions of 37 C.F.R. §1.78(a)(2).

Respectfully submitted,

BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.

Bv:

James A. LaBarre

Registration No. 28,632

P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404 (703) 836-6620

Date: April 3, 2001

Attachment to Second Preliminary Amendment dated April 3, 2001

Marked-up Copy

Page 1, Paragraph Beginning immediately following the title:

--This disclosure is based upon, and claims priority from, French Application No. 98/10592 and International Application No. PCT/FR99/01996, <u>published by the International Bureau on February 24, 2000 in a language other than English</u>, the contents of which are incorporated herein by reference.--

teril te

10

15

METHOD FOR TESTING A RANDOM NUMBER SOURCE AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES COMPRISING SAID METHOD

The invention relates to a method for testing sources generating random numbers, in particular sources developed in the context of cryptographic systems such as the random number generators incorporated in chip cards.

It is particularly designed to be used in the testing and validation of electronic devices such as chip cards, PCMCIAs, badges, contactless cards or any other portable apparatus.

The majority of cryptography systems of the public key type (also referred to as asymmetric cryptography) and secret key type (also referred to symmetrical cryptography) require the drawing of secret random values. It is essential that such random values, or numbers, designed to serve as keys subsequently, should a priori be unpredictable and should not exhibit any regularities making it possible to find them by

30

strategies of exhaustive or enhanced exhaustive search, in which the most probable keys are sought first.

In this regard, there are several methods for testing the random values generated by a random source and to ensure that the said source functions correctly and does not exhibit any drift following changes in external parameters of malevolent origin, such as alteration by induced radiation.

Each of these methods applies to a series, also referred to as a sequence, of integer numbers between 0 and a value d, the said series being generated by the random source.

The most widely known test method is the so-called "frequency" test. It is a case of counting the number of appearances of each integer between 0 and a value d in the said sequence. The number of appearances of each integer is then evaluated statistically.

A second so-called "series" test method consists in a counting and statistical evaluation of the number of appearances of all the possible pairs of integers between 0 and a value d. This test method can be broadened to the counting of triplets or quadruplets of integers, etc.

A third so-called "hole" test method exists. A hole in a sequence is a series of numbers outside a predetermined interval. It is a case of a statistical evaluation of the length of the said holes in the sequence,

A fourth test method, known as the "poker" test, exist. The test consists in grouping together the

numbers in the sequence in groups of five numbers and counting in each quintuplet how many different values appear.

A fifth test method, known as the "collection of coupons", consists of statistically evaluating the sequence size necessary for all the integer values between 0 and d to appear in the said sequence.

The details of these methods are found in the work by Knuth, entitled "The Art of Computer Programming, Vol. 2, Seminumerical Algorithms".

Another popular test method is Maurer's universal test described in the work "Journal of Cryptography", Vol. 5, Nº 2, 1992, pp 89-105. This test has the advantage of revealing all the faults detectable by the test methods previously cited as well as other statistical defects not detected by these same test methods.

The so-called Maurer test method, also referred to as the universal method, comprises the following steps:

Step one: Generation of a sequence of (Q+K)*L bits by the random source. Q, K and L are input parameters. The bits in the sequence are grouped in blocks of L bits, forming a sequence of integers between 0 and 2^L-1 of length Q+K. The length is stored in the table block[n], where n is between 1 and Q+K.

Step two: Calculating the test parameter, denoted fTU; this second step comprising the following steps, referred to as substeps 2.1 to 2.5:

2.1 Creation and initialisation of a table tab[i] of size 2^L;

- 2.2 For n varying from 1 to Q, making the calculation: tab[block[n]]=n;
 - 2.3 Initialising the number Sum to 0;
- 2.4 For n varying from Q+1 to Q+K, performing the calculation:

Add log(n-tab[block[n]] to Sum;
Make the calculation: tab[block[n]]=n;

2.5 The parameter fTU of the test is given by:
fTU=(Sum/K)/Log(2);

Step three: Calculation of the variance per test parameter block, denoted Var. Its precise expression is given in the article published by Maurer in the work "Journal of Cryptography", Vol 5, N° 2, 1992, pp. 89-105, which is:

$$Var = (1-z) * \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \log 2(i)^{2*}z^{i-1} - ((1-z) * \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \log 2(i) * z^{i-1})^{2}$$

with log2(z) = log(z)/log(2) and $z=1-2^{-L}$

Step four: Calculation of the function c(L,K). An approximate expression of this function is given in the article in the abovementioned work, which is:

$$C(L,K)=0.7-0.8/L+(1.6+12.8/L)*K(-4/L);$$

Step five: Calculation of the standard deviation of the test parameter, denoted $\sigma\colon \sigma=\sigma(L.K)*\sqrt{(Var/K)}$;

Step six: Calculation of the parameter y; y is determined from the rejection rate of the test fixed as an input, denoted ρ . Y must satisfy the equation:

 $N(-y) = \rho$.

N is the normal density function described in the work by R. Langley: "Practical Statistics", Dover publications, New York, 1968. The equation N(-y)=p can

20

be resolved using a table of values of N. Such a table is supplied in the abovementioned article.

Step seven: Calculation of the ideal mean value of the test, denoted E[fTU]. Its expression is given in the article published by Maurer in the work "Journal of Cryptography", Vol 5, N² 2, 1992, pp. 89-105, and is equal to:

$$E[fTU] = (1 - z) * \sum_{i=1}^{n} log 2(i) * zi-1$$

with $\log_2(z) = \log(z) / \log(2)$ and $z=1-2^{-L}$

Step eight: Calculation of the bounds t1 and t2. They are given by the equation: $t1=E[fTU]-y*\sigma$ and $t2=E[fTU]+y*\sigma$.

Step nine: Result of the test.

If the test parameter fTU is between t1 and t2, then the random number generator is accepted. In the contrary case, it is refused.

The universal test method is therefore based on an approximation in the calculation of the function c(L,K). This approximation makes the test less precise than is wished by the theoretical guarantee serving as a basis for it. It is possible to show that, in certain cases, the universal test proves to be 2.67 times too permissive compared with what is allowed by theory.

The object of the present invention is an improved test method for achieving the real precision guaranteed by the theoretical analysis of the universal test. This test serves notably to improve the security of portable devices of the chip card type.

20

5

The method of the invention consists in replacing step 4 of the universal test by the precise calculation of the function c(L,K). This calculation is based on a probabilistic analysis of the universal test.

The present invention gives three distinct expressions of the function c(L,K), according to the values of the parameters L and K.

The first expression of c(L,K) is valid whatever the parameters L and K.

The second expression of c(L,K) is valid in the case where the value L is between 3 and 16 and the value K is greater than $30*2^L$, which corresponds to the most usual case of use of the test. It is much more simple to calculate than the first expression and can therefore be effected on a simple microcontroller in a few milliseconds.

The third expression of c(L,K) is valid for a value of L>16 and a value of K>30*2^L. This expression is even more simple to calculate.

The first expression of c(L,K) can be obtained by means of the method described below, which contains nine steps:

- 1. Calculation of: $u=1-2^{-L}$ and $v=1-1/(2^{L}-1)$; u and v being real numbers;
- 2. Creation of two tables tabl and tab2 of size $60*2^{1}$;
 - 3. Filling of tab1 and tab2: for this purpose,
 - 3.1 Execute z=u, sum=0, z1=1;

```
3.2 For i ranging from 1 to 30*24, repeating the
        two operations which are: add log2(i)*21 to sum, in
        which log2 designates the logarithm to base 2, and
              calculate: z1=z1*z;
           3.3 Execute tab1[0]=(1-z)*sum;
              3.4 For i ranging from 1 to 60*2L,
              Execute tab1[i] = (tab1[i-1]-(1-z)*log2(i))/z;
              3.5 Repeat steps 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, replacing u
        with v and tab1 with tab2;
10
                  Calculation of the variance per block denoted
Com and the first
        Var;
              4,1 Execute sum=0 and x=1;
                    For i varying from 1 to 30*21, execute the
Ŀ
l Harry
        following two operations:
              Add \log 2(i)^{2} \times x to sum and
In the face from the there for the
              Execute x=x*z;
              4.3 Make Var=sum/21-tab1[0]2;
              5. Calculation of P(K);
              5.1 Make sum=0 and x=1;
              5.2 For i varying from 1 to 30*21; carry out the
        following three operations:
              Calculate y: y=u^2*(tab2[i+K-1]-tab1[i+K])*(tab2[0]-
        v^{i}*tab2[i])+u*tab1[0]*(tab1[i+K-1]-tab2[i+K-1]),
              Add y*x to sum,
 25
              Execute x=x*u;
              5.3 Execute P(K) = u^{(K-1)} * sum;
              6. Calculation of P(1);
              Same method as at step 5, replacing K with 1;
              7. Calculation of Q(K):
              7.1 Make sum=0, sum2=0 and x=1,
 30
```

7.2 For i varying from 1 to 30*2^L:

Add i*log2(i)*u(i-2) to sum2;

Execute the following three operations:

Calculate $y=u^2*(tab2[i+K-1]-$

5 tabi[i+K])*((i+k)*tab2[0]-vi*tab2[i])-2(-L)*sum2)+u*(i+K1)*tab1[0]*(tab1[i+K-1]-tab2[i+K=1]),

Add y*x to sum,

Execute x=x*u;

- 7.3 Execute $Q(K)=u^{(K-1)}*sum$
- Calculation of Q(1)

Same method as at step 7, replacing K with 1

9. Calculation of c(L,K)

$$Q(L,K) = \sqrt{(1-2/Var*(P(1)-P(K)-(Q(1)-Q(K))/K)}$$

The second expression of c(L,K) is valid for $K>30*2^L$. It is calculated according to the following method in two steps:

Step one: Reading of the values of e(L) and d(L), e and d being real values, listed in the following table, for L between 3 and 16:

Ţ.	đ(L)	e (L)
3	0.2732725	0.4890883
4	0.3045101	0.4435381
5	0.3296587	0,4137196
6	0.3489769	0.3941338
7	0,3631815	0.3813210
8	0.3732189	0.3730195
9	0.3800637	0.3677118
10	0.3845867	0,3643695
11	0.3874942	0.3622979

25

12	0.3893189	0.3610336
13	0.3904405	0.3602731
14	0.3911178	0.3598216
15	0.3915202	0.3595571
16	0.3917561	0.3594040

Step two: Calculate the value c(L,K) using the formula:

 $c(L, K) = \sqrt{(d(L) + e(L) * 2^{L}/K)}$

The third expression of c(L,K) is valid for L>16 and $K>30*2^{2}$. It is given by the following formula:

$$c(L,K) = \sqrt{(1-6/\Pi^2+2/\Pi^2*(4*log(2)-1)*2^L/K)}$$

The present invention also relates, as stated at the beginning of the description, page 1, to an electronic device which is not depicted by a figure or diagram. This electronic device is a device for the automatic verification of the physical integrity of a self-checking integrated circuit checking the integrity of its random generator from the three variants of the method of the invention, also described above, or more explicitly from the three distinct expressions of the function c(L,K), in order to ensure that the said generator is functioning correctly in general and does not exhibit any drift following changes in external parameters of malevolent origin, such as an alteration by induced radiation, in particular.

Preferentially, the electronic device carrying out the test is a portable device, and more particularly consists, for example, of a chip card, a contactless card, a PCMCIA card, a badge or an intelligent watch. Finally, the electronic device of the invention can be an external device consisting of a machine or installation designed to test the correct functioning of random generators incorporated in the said portable devices. This external device allows an exchange of information with the portable device so as to check that the random generator is functioning correctly. The external device interacts with the portable device in order to check the integrity of its random generator.

1. A method for testing sources of random numbers, comprising the following steps:

Step one: Generation of a sequence of (Q+K)*L bits by the random source, Q, K and L being input parameters, the bits in the sequence being grouped in blocks of L bits, forming a sequence of integers between 0 and 2^L-1 of length Q+K, the length being stored in the table block[n], where n is between 1 and Q+K;

Step two: Calculating the test parameter, denoted fTU; this second step comprising the following steps, referred to as substeps 2.1 to 2.5:

- 2.1 Creation and initialisation of a table tab[i] of size 2^L;
- 2.2 For n varying from 1 to Q, making the calculation: tab[block[n]]=n;
 - 2.3 Initialising the number Sum to 0;
- 2.4 For n varying from Q+1 to Q+K, performing the calculation in two operations:

Add log(n-tab[block[n]] to Sum;

Make the calculation; tab[block[n]]=n;

2.5 The parameter fTU of the test is given by:
 fTU=(Sum/K)/Log(2);

Step three: Calculation of the variance per test parameter block, denoted Var, from the following expression:

$$Var = (1 - z) * \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} log 2(i)^{2*}z^{i-1} - ((1 - z) * \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} log 2(i) * z^{i-1})^{2}$$

5

with log2(z) = log(z) / log(2) and $z=1-2^{-L}$

Step four: Calculation of the function c(L,K);

Step five: Calculation of the standard deviation of the test parameter, denoted $\sigma\colon \sigma\text{=c}(L.K)\,*\sqrt{(Var/K)}\,;$

Step six: Calculation of the parameter y; y is determined from the rejection rate of the test fixed as an input, denoted ρ . Y must satisfy the equation:

 $N(-y) = \rho$,

N is the normal density function;

Step seven: Calculation of the ideal mean value of the test, denoted E[fTU], given by the following formula:

$$H[fTU] = (1 - z) * \sum_{i=1}^{n} log 2(i) * z^{i-1}$$

with log2(z) = log(z) / log(2) and $z=1-2^{-L}$

Step eight: Calculation of the bounds t1 and t2. They are given by the equation: $t1=E[fTU]-y*\sigma$ and $t2=E[fTU]+y*\sigma$;

Step nine: Result of the test: the random number generator being accepted if the test parameter fTU is between t1 and t2, and rejected in the contrary case,

the said method being characterised in that step four consists of a calculation of the function c(L,K) which is valid whatever the parameters L and K.

2. A method for testing sources of random numbers according to Claim 1, characterised in that step four consists of a calculation of the function c(L,K) which is valid in the case where the value of L is between 3 and 16 and the value of K is greater than $30*2^L$.

20

25

	3. A method for testing sources of random numbers
	according to Claim 1, characterised in that step four
	consists of a calculation of the function c(L,K) which
	is valid for a value of $L>16$ and a value of $K>30*2^L$.
5	. 4, A method according to Claim 1, characterised
	in that the calculation of the function c(L,K) contains

- 1. Calculation of: $u=1-2^{-L}$ and $v=1-1/(2^{L}-1)$; u and v being real numbers;
- 2. Creation of two tables tabl and tab2 of size $60*2^{L}$;
 - 3.1 Execute z=u, sum=0, z1=1;
- 3.2 For 1 ranging from 1 to $30*2^L$, repeating the two operations which are: add $\log 2(i)*z1$ to sum, in which $\log 2$ designates the logarithm to base 2, and

calculate: zl=z1*z;

nine steps:

- 3.3 Execute tab1[0]=(1-z)*sum;
- 3.4 For i ranging from 1 to 60*2L,

Execute tabl[i] = (tab1[i-1] - (1-z) * log2(i))/z;

- 3.5 Repeat steps 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, replacing u with v and tab1 with tab2;
- 4. Calculation of the variance per block denoted Var:
 - 4.1 Execute sum=0 and x=1;
- 4.2 For i varying from 1 to 30*2⁵, execute the following two operations:

Add log2(i)2*x to sum and

Execute x=x*z;

- 4.3 Make Var=sum/2^L-tab1[0]²;
- 30 5. Calculation of P(K);

20

```
Make sum=0 and x=1;
             5.2 For i varying from 1 to 30*2": carry out the
       following three operations:
             Calculate y:y=u^2*(tab2[i+K-1]-tab1[i+K])*(tab2[0]-
       v<sup>i</sup>*tab2[i])+u*tab1[0]*(tab1[i+K-1]-tab2[i+K-1]),
  5
             Add y*x to sum,
              Execute x=x*u;
              5.3 Execute P(K)=u(K-1) *sum;
              6. Calculation of P(1):
              Same method as at step 5, replacing K with 1;
<u>_</u>10

 Calculation of Q(K):

7.1 Make sum=0, sum2=0 and x=1,
              7.2 For i varying from 1 to 30*2^L:
              Add i*log2(i)*u(i-2) to sum2;
              Execute the following three operations:
               Calculate y=u<sup>2</sup>*(tab2[i+K-1]-
The time that the time that the
        tab1[i+K])*((i+k)*tab2[0]-v^i*tab2[i])-2^{(-1)}*sum2)+u*(i+K-1)
         1) *tab1[0] * (tab1[i+K-1]-tab2[i+K=1]),
               Add y*x to sum,
               Execute x=x*u;
   20
               7.3 Execute Q(K) = u^{(K-1)} * sum
                    Calculation of Q(1)
                Same method as at step 7, replacing K with 1
                    Calculation of c(L,K)
                c(L,K) = \sqrt{(1-2/\text{Var}^*(P(1)-P(K)-(Q(1)-Q(K))/K)}
   25
                     A method according to Claim 2, characterised
          in that the function c(L,K) contains two steps:
                Step one: Reading of the values of e(L) and d(L),
          e and d being real values, listed in the following
          table, for L between 3 and 16:
    30
```

	Ļ	d(L)	e (L)
	3	0.2732725	0,4890883
	4	0.3045101	0.4435381
_	- 5	0.3296587	0.4137196
:	6	0.3489769	0.3941338
	7	0.3631815	0.3813210
	, 8	0.3732189	0.3730195
	9	0.3800637	0.3677118
	10	0.3845867	0.3643695
	11	0.3874942	0.3622979
	12	0.3893189	0.3610336
	13	0.3904405	0.3602731
	14	0.3911178	0.3598216
•	15	0.3915202	0.3595571
	16	0.3917561	0.3594040
	~~~		

Step two: Calculate the value c(L,K) using the formula:

$$a(L,K) = \sqrt{(d(L) + e(L) *2^{L}/K)}$$

6. A method according to Claim 3, characterised in that the calculation of the functions c(L,K) is effected by means of the following formula:

$$c(L,K) = \sqrt{(1-6/\Pi^2+2/\Pi^2*(4*\log(2)-1)*2^L/K)}$$

10 7. An electronic device for the self-checking of the physical integrity of a self-checking integrated circuit and checking the integrity of its random generator, in order to ensure that the latter is functioning correctly in general and does not exhibit any drift following changes in external parameters of

- 8. An electronic device according to Claim 7, characterised in that the device performing the test is a portable device.
- 9. An electronic device according to Claim 8, characterised in that the device is a chip card, a contactless card, a PCMCIA card, a badge or an intelligent watch.
- 10. An electronic device according to any one of Claims 1 to 6, characterised in that an external device performing the test consists of a machine or installation designed to test the correct functioning of random generators incorporated in the said portable devices.

# 19763453 OKKITA

# COMBINED DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY (Includes Reference to Provisional and PCT International Applications)

Attorney's Docket No.

032326-123

0	DAS	a below	named	invento	or, I b	ereby	declare	tha
•	1 1	residenc	e nost	office	2007	hre and	citizen	chir

Ty residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;
I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plantal names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention

2009 titled:			•					
MSTHOD F	OR TESTING A F	RANDOM NUMBER SOURCE	E AND ELECTRONIC DEVIC	ES COMPRISING SAID				
METHOD								
the s	pecification of whi	ch (check only one item below)	):					
	is attached hereto	).						
[X]	was filed as Unit	ed States application						
	Number <u>09/763</u>	3,158						
	on February 16							
	and was amended	1						
	on		(if applicable).					
	was filed as PCT	international application						
	and was amended							
	on		(if applicable).					
	that I have review by any amendment		of the above-identified specification	ation, including the claims,				
	e the duty to disclo le of Federal Regul		known to me to be material to	patentability as defined in				
I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, §119 (a)-(e) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate or of any PCT international application(s) designating at least one country other than the United States of America listed below and have also identified below any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate or any PCT international application(s) designating at least one country other than the United States of America filed by me on the same subject matter having a filing date before that of the application(s) of which priority is claimed:								
PRIOR FOR	EIGN/PCT APPLI	CATION(S) AND ANY PRIO	RITY CLAIMS UNDER 35 U.	S.C. §119:				
	UNTRY odicate "PCT")	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	PRIORITY CLAIMED UNDER 35 U.S.C. §119				
10		08/10502	17 Assessed 1000	37 37 37				

France	98/10592	17 August 1998	X Yes _No
			_Yes _No
I hereby claim the benefit under below.	Title 35, United States Cod	e § 119(e) of any United States pro	ovisional application(s) listed
(Application Nu	imber)	(Filing Date)	
(Application Nu	ımber)	(Filing Date)	<del></del>

COMBINED	DECLARA	TION FOR	<b>PATENT</b>	<b>APPLICATION</b>	AND	<b>POWER</b>	OF	ATTORNEY	(CONT'D
(Includes Re	eference to	Provision	al and PC	T International	Appli	cations)		•	

Attorney's Docket No.

032326-123

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §120 of any United States applications(s) or PCT international application(s) designating the United States of America that is/are listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in that/those prior application(s) in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to me to be material to the patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations §1.56, which became available between the filing date of the prior application(s) and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

PRIOR U.S. APPLICATIONS OR PCT INTERNATIONAL APPLICATIONS DESIGNATING THE U.S. FOR BENEFIT UNDER 35 U.S.C. §120:

	U.S. APPLICATIONS		ST	ATUS (check	one)
U.S. APPLICATION N	JMBER	U.S. FILING DATE	PATENTED	PENDING	ABANDONED
PCT A	APPLICATIONS DESIGNATING	3 THE U.S.			
PCT APPLICATION NO.	PCT FILING DATE	U.S. APPLICATION NUMBERS ASSIGNED (if any)			
PCT/FR99/01996	16 August 1999				

I hereby appoint the following attorneys and agent(s) to prosecute said application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith and to file, prosecute and to transact all business in connection with international applications directed to said invention:

William L. Mathis Robert S. Swecker Platon N. Mandros Benton S. Duffett, Jr. Norman H. Stepno Ronald L. Grudziecki Frederick G. Michaud, Jr. Alan E. Kopecki	17,337 19,885 22,124 22,030 22,716 24,970 26,003 25,813	Eric H. Weisblatt James W. Peterson Teresa Stanck Rea Robert E. Krebs William C. Rowland T. Gene Dillahunty Patrick C. Keane B. Jefferson Boggs, Jr.	30,505 26,057 30,427 25,885 30,888 25,423 32,858 32,344	Bruce T. Wieder Todd R. Walters Ronni S. Jillions Harold R. Brown III Allen R. Baum Steven M. duBois Brian P. O'Shaughnessy Kenneth B. Leffler
Alan E. Kopecki Regis E. Slutter Samuel C. Miller, III Robert G. Mukai George A. Hovanec, Jr. James A. LaBarre E. Joseph Gess R. Danny Huntington	25,613 26,999 27,360 28,531 28,223 28,632 28,510 27,903	William H. Benz Peter K. Skiff Richard J. McGrath Matthew L. Schneider Michael G. Savage Gerald F. Swiss Charles F. Wieland III	25,952 31,917 29,195 32,814 32,596 30,113 33,096	Fred W. Hathaway Wendi L. Weinstein Mary Ann Dillahunty  21839

21839

Address all correspondence to:

21839

James A. LaBarre

BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.

P.O. Box 1404

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404

Address all telephone calls to: ___James A. LaBarre

at (703) 836-6620.

33,815

34,040

31,979

36,341

36,086

35,023

32,747

36,075 32,236

34,456

34,576

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

IJ
ij
F. 10
M
IJ
H
F
Ü
<b>3</b>
Ī
IJ
Frank.

COMBINED DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION AN	Y (CONT'D)	Attorney's Docket No.	
Includes materiale to Provisional and PCT international App		032326-123	
FULL NAME OF SOLE OR FIRST INVENTOR	SIGNATURE		DATE
	9		23/4/07
Jean-Sépastien CORON RESIDENCE		CITIZENSHIP	
4 rue Léon de Lagrange, 75015, Paris, FRANCE		France	
POST OFFICE ADDRESS			
4 rue Léon de Lagrange, 75015, Paris, FRANCE			
FULL NAME OF SECOND JOINT INVENTOR, IF ANY	SIGNATURE	مل	LATEL OI
David NACCACHE RESIDENCE	Carried Assessment	CITIZENSHIP	1 ( ' !
7 rue Chaptal, 75009 Paris, FRANCE		France	
POST OFFICE ADDRESS			
7 rue Chaptal, 75009 Paris, FRANCE	CICNATIDE		DATE
FULL NAME OF THIRD JOINT INVENTOR, IF ANY	SIGNATURE		DATE
RESIDENCE	1	CITIZENSHIP	I
RESIDENCE			
POST OFFICE ADDRESS			
FULL NAME OF FOURTH JOINT INVENTOR, IF ANY	SIGNATURE		DATE
FULL NAME OF TOURISTICATE AT 1200 A 1200 A			
RESIDENCE	<u> </u>	CITIZENSHIP	
POST OFFICE ADDRESS			
FULL NAME OF FIFTH JOINT INVENTOR, IF ANY	SIGNATURE	····	DATE
		T company	<u> </u>
RESIDENCE		CITIZENSHIP	
POST OFFICE ADDRESS		1	
FULL NAME OF SIXTH JOINT INVENTOR, IF ANY	SIGNATURE		DATE
RESIDENCE		CITIZENSHIP	
RESIDENCE			
POST OFFICE ADDRESS			
THE ALL OF OR OR TRANSPORT LOTS TO TAKE THE OR TO LAKE	SIGNATURE		DATE
FULL NAME OF SEVENTH JOINT INVENTOR, IF ANY	SIGNATURE		DAIL
RESIDENCE		CITIZENSHIP	
POST OFFICE ADDRESS			
FULL NAME OF EIGHTH JOINT INVENTOR, IF ANY	SIGNATURE		DATE
DEGINENCE		CITIZENSHIP	
RESIDENCE		Carieman	
POST OFFICE ADDRESS			